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Abstract—Personalized Web Search (PWS) is a general category of search techniques aiming at providing better search results, which are tailored for individual user needs. While there implicitly collected personal data can easily reveal the user's private life, privacy concerns have become the major barrier for wide proliferation of PWS services. The main concept to improve the search quality with the personalization utility of the user profile and they need to hide the privacy contents existing in the user profile, to place the privacy risk under control there were introduce a user-specified privacy framework. There purposed system has, privacy-preserving personalized web search framework UPS (User-Specified Privacy), which can generalize profiles for each query according to user-specified privacy requirements and runtime generalization. Relying on the definition of two conflicting metrics, namely personalization utility and privacy risk for hierarchical user profile, Effective generalization algorithms, GreedyDP and GreedyIL, to support runtime profiling. While the former tries to maximize the discriminating power (DP), and provide an online prediction mechanism for deciding whether personalizing a query is beneficial. It enhance stability of the search quality and avoid unnecessary exposure of user profile. Sophisticated method to build The user profile and better metrics to predict the performance of UPS.

I. INTRODUCTION

The web search engine has long become the most important portal for ordinary people looking for useful information on the web. However, users might experience failure when search engines return irrelevant results that do not meet their real intentions. Such irrelevance is largely due to the enormous variety of users' contexts and backgrounds, as well as the ambiguity of texts. Personalized web search (PWS)[2] is a general category of search techniques aiming at providing better search results, which are tailored for individual user needs. As the expense, user information has to be collected and analyzed to figure out the user intention behind the issued query. The solutions to PWS can generally be categorized into two types, namely click-log-based methods and profile based ones. The click-log based methods are straightforward—they simply impose bias to clicked pages in the user’s query history. Although this strategy has been demonstrated to perform consistently and considerably well, it can only work on repeated queries from the same user, which is a strong limitation confining its applicability. In contrast, profile based methods improve the search experience with complicated user-interest models generated from user profiling techniques. Profile-based methods can be potentially effective for almost all sorts of queries, but are reported to be unstable under some circumstances. Although there are pros and cons for both types of PWS techniques, the profile-based PWS has demonstrated more effectiveness in improving the quality of web search recently, with increasing usage of personal and behaviour information to profile its users, which is usually gathered implicitly from query history, browsing history, click-through data, bookmarks, user documents, and so forth. Unfortunately, such implicitly collected personal data can easily reveal a gamut of user’s private life. Privacy issues rising from the lack of protection for such data, for instance the AOL query logs scandal, not only raise panic among individual users, but also
dampen the data-publisher’s enthusiasm in offering personalized service. In fact, privacy concerns have become the major barrier for wide proliferation of PWS services.

II. PERSONALIZE SEARCH

There is a lot of variation across queries in the benefits that can be achieved through personalization. For some queries, everyone who issues the query is looking for the same thing. For other queries, different people want very different results even though they express their need in the same way. [1] There examine variability in user intent using both explicit relevance judgments and large-scale log analysis of user behavior patterns. While variation in user behavior is correlated with variation in explicit relevance judgments the same query, there are many other factors, such as result entropy, result quality, and task that can also affect the variation in behavior. This characterize queries using a variety of features of the query, the results returned for the query, and people’s interaction history with the query.

The notion of online anonymity [10] to enable users to issue personalized queries to an untrusted web service while with their anonymity preserved. The challenge for providing online anonymity is dealing with unknown and dynamic web users who can get online and offline at any time. Rather than relying on the unrealistic assumption that people will precisely specify their intent when searching, we pursue techniques that leverage implicit information about the user’s interests. This information is used to re-rank Web search results within a relevance feedback framework[3]. This explore rich models of user interests, built from both search-related information, such as previously issued queries and previously visited Web pages, and other information about the user such as documents and email the user has read and created. Our research suggests that rich representations of the user and the corpus are important for personalization[4], but that it is possible to approximate these representations and provide efficient client-side algorithms for personalizing search. In this paper, we study this problem and provide some preliminary conclusions. Other method present a large-scale evaluation framework for personalized search based on query logs[1], and then evaluates five personalized search strategies (including two click-based and three profile-based ones) using 12-day MSN query logs[7]. By analyzing the results, reveal that personalized search has significant improvement over common web search on some queries but it has little effect on other queries (e.g., queries with small click entropy). It even harms search accuracy under some situations. Furthermore, we show that straight-forward click-based personalization strategies perform consistently and considerably well, while profile-based ones are unstable in our experiments. It also reveal that both long-term and short-term contexts are very important in improving search performance for profile-based personalized search strategies, another method introduce that is ontology based personalized search[14] it explores ways of incorporating users’ interests into the search process to improve the results. The user profiles are structured as a concept hierarchy of 4,400 nodes. These are populated by ‘watching over a user’s shoulder’ while he is surfing. No explicit feedback is necessary. The profiles are shown to converge and to reflect the actual interests quite well. One possible deployment of the profiles is investigated: re-ranking and filtering search results. Increases in performance are moderate but noticeable and show that fully automatic creation of large hierarchical user profiles is possible. Using ODP[13] method to personalized search, The Open Directory Project is clearly one of the largest collaborative efforts to manually annotate web pages. This effort involves over 65,000 editors and resulted in metadata specifying topic and importance for more than 4 million web pages. how these metadata can be exploited to achieve high quality personalized web search. First, address this by introducing an additional criterion for web page ranking, namely the distance between a user profile defined using ODP topics and the sets of ODP topics covered by each URL returned in regular web search.
III. PRIVACY ENHANCING

Client-side personalization has advantages over the existing server-side Four levels of privacy protection Level I: pseudo identity Level II: group identity Level III: no identity Level IV: no personal information. Applying client-side personalization paradigm, Level I, Level II and Level III privacy protection can be easily achieved using various existing technologies.[9] When a search engine is willing to share the index with a trusted third party and an appropriate communication protocol is designed, client-side personalized search system can even be used to achieve Level IV privacy protection. Privacy concern is a serious issue that has become a major barrier for deploying serious personalized search applications. There are many research challenges to be solved before that can achieve the ultimate Level IV privacy protection. That in the future there will likely be different levels of privacy protection provided by search engines depending on a user’s preference for the tradeoff between the privacy concern and the improved search service quality.

Personalized search is a promising way to improve search quality.[12] However, this approach requires users to grant the server full access to personal information on Internet, which violates users’ privacy. The investigated the feasibility of achieving a balance between users’ privacy and search quality. First, an algorithm is provided to the user for collecting, summarizing, and organizing their personal information into a hierarchical user profile, where general terms are ranked to higher levels than specific terms. Through this profile, users control what portion of their private information is exposed to the server by adjusting the minDetail threshold. An additional privacy measure, expRatio, is proposed to estimate the amount of privacy is exposed with the specified minDetail value. Experiments showed that he user profile is helpful in improving search quality when combined with the original MSN ranking. The experimental results verified our hypothesis that there is an opportunity for users to expose a small portion of their private information while getting a relatively high quality search. Offering general information has a greater impact on improving search quality. Yet, this paper is an exploratory work on the two aspects: First, deal with unstructured data such as personal documents, for which it is still an open problem on how to define privacy. Secondly, try to bridge the conflict needs of personalization and privacy protection by breaking the premise on privacy as an absolute standard. There are a few of promising directions for future work. In particular, we are considering ways of quantifying the utility that we gain from personalization, thus users can have clear incentive to comprise their privacy. Also, we suspect that an improved balance between privacy protection and search quality can be achieved if web search are personalized by considering only exposing those information related to a specific query.

There introduce and explore an economics of privacy in personalization, where people can opt to share personal information, in a standing or on-demand manner, in return for expected enhancements in the quality of an online service. It focus on the example of web search and formulate realistic objective functions for search efficacy and privacy. then it demonstrate how it can find a provably near-optimal optimization of the utility-privacy tradeoff in an efficient manner. evaluate our methodology on data drawn from a log of the search activity of volunteer participants. Then separately assess users preferences about privacy and utility via a large-scale survey, aimed at eliciting preferences about peoples willingness to trade the sharing of personal data in returns for gains in search efficiency. To show that a significant level of personalization can be achieved using a relatively small amount of information about users.

IV. PROPOSED SYSTEM

Here propose a privacy-preserving personalized web search framework UPS[6], which can generalize profiles for each query according to user-specified privacy requirements. Relying on the definition of two conflicting metrics, namely personalization utility and privacy risk, for hierarchical
user profile, the formulate the problem of privacy preserving personalized search as Risk Profile Generalization, with its NP-hardness proved. Develop two simple but effective generalization algorithms, GreedyDP and GreedyIL, to support runtime profiling. While the former tries to maximize the discriminating power (DP), the latter attempts to minimize the information loss (IL). By exploiting a number of heuristics, GreedyIL outperforms GreedyDP significantly. It provide an inexpensive mechanism for the client to decide whether to personalize a query in UPS. This decision can be made before each runtime profiling to enhance the stability of the search results while avoid the unnecessary exposure of the profile. **Advantages:** The stability of the search quality. 2. To avoids the unnecessary exposure of the user profile.

The DFD is also called as bubble chart. It is a simple graphical formalism that can be used to represent a system in terms of the input data to the system, various processing carried out on these data, and the output data is generated by the system.
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**V. CONCLUSION**

This paper mainly a survey based how the personalised web search will be working and how to organize a perfect individual privacy mechanism. There were several dealings for personalized web search and methods for privacy enhancement. This also paper presented a client-side privacy protection framework called UPS for personalized web search. UPS could potentially be adopted by any PWS that captures user profiles in a hierarchical taxonomy. The framework allowed users to specify customized privacy requirements via the hierarchical profiles. In addition, UPS also performed online generalization on user profiles to protect the personal privacy without compromising the search quality. We proposed two greedy algorithms, namely GreedyDP and GreedyIL, for the online generalization. Our experimental results revealed that UPS could achieve
quality search results while preserving user’s customized privacy requirements. The results also confirmed the effectiveness and efficiency of our solution.
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